

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/813,415	03/21/2001	Mark Dilman	1-6	2405
46363 7	46363 7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/			BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2143	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/813,415	DILMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 October 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date $\frac{08/21/2002}{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}$ $\frac{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}$ $\frac{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}$ $\frac{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}$ Os $\frac{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}{\sqrt{8(05/02)}}$						

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 through 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Mandal (U.S. 6,170,009).

As per claims 1 & 7 Mandal disclosed a method for monitoring usage of resources in a plurality of elements each capable of communicating with a centralized management station, comprising the steps of computing in each of the elements, a localized value indicative of the usage, in said element, of said resources, and, responsive to said localized value, communicating a message to said central station (col.2, lines 51-57, col.3, lines 45-67), and responsive to receipt or absence of receipt of said message in said centralized management station, obtaining information from at least another one of said elements indicative of the usage of said resources in said other element (col.4, lines 1-14).

3. As per claim 2 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said message includes said localized value (col.6, lines 1-18).

Art Unit: 2143

4. As per claim 3 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 2, wherein said method further

includes the step of using the information from at least another one of said elements as well as

said localized value to estimate the global usage of said resources in all of said elements (col.6,

lines 1-27).

5. As per claim 4 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said localized value is

indicative of the present usage of resources by said elements and said computing step includes

comparing said localized value to a fixed threshold value (col.3, lines 45-67).

6. As per claim 5 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, wherein said localized value is

indicative of the rate of change of usage of resources by said elements and said computing step

includes comparing said localized value to a fixed threshold value (col.3, lines 29-67).

7. As per claim 6 Mandal disclosed the method of claim 1, further including the step of

responsive to the results of said polling, adjusting the use of resources at one or more of said

elements (col.6, lines 1-27).

8. As per claim 7 Mandal disclosed a method for monitoring usage of resources in a

plurality of elements each capable of communicating with a centralized management station,

comprising the steps of asynchronous reporting of events when the resource usage in any of said

elements deviates from a prescribed norm, and a periodic polling of said network elements in

response to an event generated in said asynchronous reporting step (col.6, lines 1-27).

9. As per claims 9 & 10 Mandal disclosed a technique for managing network elements in

order to reduce the amount of monitoring related traffic, comprising the steps of partitioning a

global resource into a plurality of separate nodes, assigning a fixed resource budget to each of

the nodes, when any of the nodes exceeds its budget, based upon local monitoring at that node,

Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

triggering a report in the node by sending a message to a central manager, and responsive to receipt of said message in said central manager, issuing a global poll of all of the nodes in said network (col.4, lines 52-56 & col.5, lines 31-44).

- 10. As per claim 11 Mandal disclosed the method defined in claim 8 wherein said network elements are routers switches and bridges and firewall devices (col.7, lines 29-39).
- 11. As per claim 12 Mandal disclosed the method defined in claim 8 wherein said network elements are application level elements such as servers, hosts, and layer 4-7 switches (col.2, lines66-67 & col.3, lines 1-12).

## Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed on October 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. When prior art is presented to the applicants, it is the responsibility of the applicants to not simply read portions of the prior art but to also gain an understanding of the spirit of the design.
- 13. The applicant argued that "The Mandal reference is completely different than the applicant's invention since the Mendal reference fails to teach, or even suggest "computing in each of the elements, a localized value indicative of the usage, in said element, of said resources, and, responsive to said localized value, communicating a message to said central station".

As to applicants argument Medal discloses a system of that facilitates the control over a group of devices (elements) through policies. Policies are initiated by a user through the policy server (central station) from which these policies are sent to the devices (elements) on the network

(col.1, lines 53-59, figure 1). Once these policies are implemented on the devices (elements) they communicate with the policy server (central station) providing status (localized value) of that specific device (col.3, lines 51-66, figures 1 & 2) and (col.6, lines 9-13).

### Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

AB

BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANII PRIMARY EXAMINER